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OpenFabrics Interface WG

Last August, the OpenFabrics Alliance undertook an effort to review the current paradigm for high performance I/O.

The existing paradigm is the Verbs API running over an RDMA network.

The OFA chartered a new working group, the OpenFabrics Interface Working Group (OFI WG) to:

Develop, test, and distribute:

1. Extensible, open source interfaces aligned with application demands for high-performance fabric services.

2. An extensible, open source framework that provides access to high-performance fabric interfaces and services.
Put simply…

• A series of “API-lets”
  – vs “one API to rule them all”

• A framework to support them
OFI Objectives

• Maximize application I/O (aka network) effectiveness

• Excellent support for a wide range of (classes of) applications

• Minimize interface complexity and overhead

• Make the interface(s) extensible

• Not constrained to a particular wire, fabric or vendor
Verbs-based framework

Support for applications

adaptation layer

libibverbs - 60 function calls

a series of kernel services

Support for several fabrics
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## Verbs-based framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Level</th>
<th>Upper Layer Protocols</th>
<th>User APIs</th>
<th>Mid-Layer SMA</th>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Hardware</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IP Based App Access</td>
<td>Sockets Based Access</td>
<td>Various MPIs</td>
<td>Block Storage Access</td>
<td>Clustered DB Access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The OpenSource Zone**
- Preserve s/w investment above
- Enable differentiation below

- Support for several fabrics
- Support for applications
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Verbs API

- The Verbs API closely parallels the Verbs semantics defined in the IB Architecture specs

- The IB spec defines a very specific set of I/O services – RC, RD, UC…

- Basic abstraction exported to an application is a queue pair

- A queue pair is configured to provide an operation (send/receive, write/read, atomics…) over one of a set of services (reliable, unreliable…)

- Low level details (e.g. connection management, memory management) are exposed to the application layer (which often doesn’t care about such details)
Verbs model

- Characteristics of the QP ‘bleed through’ to the app
- QP abstracts the complete set of services, whether they are needed or not

one API (verbs)

QP is a h/w construct representing an I/O port

One service provider offering multiple services

three wire protocols
Observations

• A single API cannot meet all requirements and still be usable
• A single app would only need a subset of a single API
• Extensions will still be required
  – There is no correct API!
• We need more than an updated API – we need an updated infrastructure

From Sean Hefty’s original proposal
Streamlining the API

- Provide a richer set of services, better tuned to application requirements

- Broaden the number of APIs (“API-lets”), but streamline each by reducing the functions associated with it.

- Each API represents a specific I/O service

- APIs are composable, and can be combined

- Abstract the low level fabric details visible to the application
OFI Model

Fabric interface

Fabric service

- unicast msg service
- remote memory access
- multicast msg service
- atomic ops

Reliable service
Unreliable service

APIs expose the underlying I/O service

Multiple service providers.

Innovation in I/O service optimization occurs here.

wire protocols
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A framework

The framework exports a number of I/O services (e.g. message passing service, large block transfer service, collectives offload service, atomics service…) via a series of defined interfaces.

Implementations are optimized at the provider layer.

* Important point! The framework does not define the fabric.
Q: What is implied by incorporating interface sets under a single framework?

Objects exist that are usable between the interfaces

- Isolated interfaces turn the framework into a complex dlopen

Interfaces are composable

- May be used together
Guiding principles

There are really two –

1. Application-centric I/O

2. Fabric independence
Application as driver

Examine the classes of applications that are important to target users of OFS.

Let the applications drive the appropriate interface definition.

This, in turn, drives the necessary features that the fabric should support.

Different classes of applications may require different types of I/O services.
A word about “applications”

Let’s agree that an “application” is anything that consumes network services.

1. Software Transport Interface
2. RDMA Protocols
3. Network Transport Service
For example

- **IP-based, Sockets-based apps**
  - Support for various types of legacy apps

- **Various MPIs, PGAS…**
  - Distributed computing via message passing

- **File Systems**
  - Network-attached file or object storage

- **Block Storage**
  - Network-attached block storage

- **Clustered DB Access**
  - Extracting value from structured data
Wire independence

- IP-based apps
- Sockets-based apps
- Clustered DB Access
- Storage & Data Access
- Various MPIs, PGAS…

Fabric interface

I/O Service Provider

- RNIC
- HCA
- NIC
- ???

Good progress here

Now looking at mappings here
Four activities

libfabrics

Application requirements

Application(s)

Fabric Interfaces

Control Interface
Message Queue
RDMA
Atomics
CM Services
Active Messaging
Tag Matching
Collective Operations

Fabric Provider Implementation

I/O service
I/O service
... I/O service

Service providers (standards driven)

APIs (driven by OFA ‘interest groups’)
Some issues

• Memory registration – API or provider layer?
• Collectives operations
• Completions
OFI WG Process

Weekly telecons – Tuesdays at 9:00am PDT

All are welcome to participate

Group has well-defined processes to ensure progress

F-2-F meeting tonight following the OFA General Membership meeting
Thank You