**Agenda**

* How to move ahead following the very useful discussion at the workshop

**OFWG Download Site:** [www.openfabrics.org](http://www.openfabrics.org) 🡪OFED/OFA Resources 🡪 OpenFabrics Interfaces WG

**Sean’s slides – 2014-04-08-ofiwg.pptx**

Need to reach long/short term consensus.

Software packaging – a universe of existing packages, do we want to modify existing packages, or introduce new?

By and large, management, which is specific to a given fabric, is being addressed in other places. This seems appropriate since libfabric is intended to be fabric agnostic. It may be helpful to think of the fabric as just another provider. From the user point of view, should the user have to instantiate the management packages?

There seems to be consensus on providing optimal paths through s/w to hardware.

Suggestion is to analyze a base set of libfabric-based APIs (msg, rms, tagged, atomic, event collector)

Q: what is the expected interaction between existing verbs APIs and libfabrics APIs? Can you mix them within a given program?

A: yes, as shown on slide 6 (extending capabilities to verbs).

Redhat offered to create a single slide describing how the two libraries might be merged.

Leveraging off the consensus we do have, Intel proposes that the group focus on optimizing code paths through the s/w to the h/w without regard to whether it is ultimately implemented in an extended version of verbs or in a new library.

**Next regular telecom**

Next meeting: Tuesday, 4/15/14

9am-10am Pacific daylight time
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