**OFI WG Weekly telecom – 01/13/2015**

**Agenda:**

* OFA website downloads area
* OFA workshop
  + potential f-2-f 3/15/15
  + OFI sessions for the workshop
* Credits proposal? Reese Faucette
* Practices and procedures

**Workshop**

f-2-f being planned for Sunday, 3/15, 9am to 5pm PST. Rooms at the Marriott can be had on Saturday night at the OFA workshop rate.

AR Paul: send a Doodle poll to confirm that enough people will attend to make it worthwhile.

Speakers for the OFA workshop

- Cisco to present a session on the USNIC provider

- Cisco to present a session on the state of libfabric in MPI

- Sean – OFI architectural overview (and anything else he wants to talk about too).

- Paul – OFI overview

**Policies and Procedures – Jim Ryan**

A recent discussion about the MPI Forum stimulated us to look at their practices and procedures to see if ours could benefit from their experiences. In general, the OFI’s P&Ps seem to mirror those of the MPI Forum quite closely, with some differences in detail reflecting the differences in the two groups. No need at this time to modify our existing P&Ps which can be found at <https://www.openfabrics.org/downloads/ofiwg/ofiwg_governance/>

**Credits Proposal – Reese**

will have an example pushed to github by the end of this week.

**Open issues**

-people should go to github and sign up to receive emails related to issues.

- <https://github.com/ofiwg/libfabric>

- click on your name in the upper righthand corner

- click on ‘edit profile’

- under Notification Center, choose your preferred poison

- good discussion is occurring via github, but it generally involves a small number of participants.

-current important open issues

- git issue #393 - reporting the operation type in the completion. This is useful for sharing a CQ. In the case of IB, the operation type is reported, unless there is an error (which obviously limits its usefulness in error situations). Is it adequate to include a gross level of information about the operation?

- git issue #476 – if there are a large number of I/O vectors, there is a significant performance hit if you require the provider to copy the I/O vector set into application memory. How do you allow an application to do I/O vector type operations without requiring the provider to copy a potentially large number of I/O vectors? Is it possible to add a flag that allows the provider to avoid doing the copy when the application doesn’t require the I/O vector?

**Future Agenda Topics:**

* Interfaces and structures for reporting topology data

**OFIWG Download Site:** [www.openfabrics.org/downloads/OFIWG](http://www.openfabrics.org/downloads/OFIWG)

**Github:** <https://github.com/ofiwg/libfabric>

**Link to WebEx Recording:** [**Play recording**](https://cisco.webex.com/ciscosales/lsr.php?RCID=213d56ce2e7949a8ba2868d889b0b5bb) (38 min 6 sec)

**Agenda for next meeting:**

- Credits proposal (see Reese Faucette’s email dated 12/8/14 titled “credits proposal”)

- open Github issues

**Next regular telecon**

Next meeting: Tuesday, 1/20/15

9am-10am Pacific daylight time